

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

09/896,858

Examiner

Cindy Nguyen

Applicant(s)

GELFAND, BORIS

Art Unit

2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 10/26/06.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-6, 15-20 (renumber as 1-12).
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date 10/26/06
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material

5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other _____


JEFFREY GAFFAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


DETAILED ACTION

This is response to amendment filed 10/26/06.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/26/06 was filed after the mailing date of the Non final rejection on 04/25/06. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Allowable Subject Matter



^{1-6 + 15-20}
Claims ~~1-19~~ and 21 are allowed in light of the applicant arguments and in light of the prior art made of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record failed to disclose: make obvious, or otherwise suggest: a computer readable storage media comprising a collection of data relating to multiple instances of multiple entity types, computer readable storage media comprising: a computer readable memory having a plurality of data cells containing all of the data in the collection, with each data cell being a data construct containing an attribute value for identified one specific attribute type, such that each data cell contains the attribute value for only the one specific attribute type and for only the one specific instance of the specific entity type and does not contain the attribute value for any other attribute type or any other instance of the specific entity type wherein each data cell is self-identifying as recited in claim 1.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art of record failed to disclose: make obvious, or otherwise suggest: a method of creating a collection of data cells stored on a computer system, wherein each data cell contains a single element of data relating to specific instance of an entity, the method comprising creating a linking cell stored on the computer system defining an association between the first data cell and the second data cell, the linking cell having four fields each having values, the value of two of the fields of the linking cell being the same as the values of the first and second fields of the first data cell, the value of the remaining two fields of the linking cell being the same as the values of the first and second fields of the second data cell as recited in claim 15.

The dependent claims 2-6 and 16-20, being further limiting to the independent claims 1 and 15 definite and fully enable by the specification are also allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lowry et al. (U.S 4864497). Method of integrating software application programs using an attributive data model database.

Handel et al. (U.S 6195651). System, method and article of manufacture for a tuned user application experience.

Gupta et al. (U.S 6154748). Method for visually mapping data between different record formats.

Carey et al. (U.S 6421658). Efficient implementation of typed view hierarchies for ORDBMS.

Gupta et al. (U.S 6438562). Parallel index maintenance.

Heubner et al. (U.S 6101502). Object model mapping and runtime engine for employing relational database with object oriented software.

1. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4025. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300-7239 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300-7240 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2161

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.



Cindy Nguyen
November 20, 2006